

**REMARKS**

Upon the entry of this amendment, claims 32-42 will be pending. Applicants respectfully disagree with the March 10, 2005 Office Action's rejection of claims 1-14, 23, 26-31, 43-66. However, for the sole purpose of facilitating prosecution, Applicants are canceling these claims and the withdrawn claims (claims 1-31 and 43-66), and will be filing them in a continuing application.

Claims 32-42 are deemed to be not obvious, and patentable over the prior art. Further, Examiner Jiang confirmed with Applicants' representative in a telephonic communication that the double patenting rejection does not apply to claims 32-42.<sup>1</sup>

In view of the foregoing, Applicants assert that the pending claims are in condition for allowance, and an early Office Action to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
Quan L. Nguyen  
Registration No. 46,957

Date: June 10, 2005

**COZEN O'CONNOR**  
1900 Market Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 665-2158

---

<sup>1</sup> The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is over the claims in US Patent Nos. 5,780,497 and 5,880,137. However, the Office Action deems that claims 32-42 are not obvious over US Patent Nos. 5,780,497 and 5,880,137. Accordingly, there should be no obviousness-type double patenting rejections made for claims 32-42 with respect to US Patent Nos. 5,780,497 and 5,880,137.